

BEN LO 30B6  
ANYWHERE COMMERCE V INGENICO

December 10, 2021

1-4

		Page 1		Page 3
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		1	I N D E X
2	DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS		2	
3			3	INDEX OF EXAMINATIONS:
4	ANYWHERE COMMERCE, INC. and )		4	EXAMINATION
5	BBPOS LIMITED, )		5	By Mr. Techentin
6	Plaintiffs, )		6	Reporter certification
7	v. ) CIVIL ACTION NO.:		7	
8	INGENICO INC., INGENICO CORP. ) 1:19-cv-11457-IT		10	Exhibit 54 Notice of 30(b)(6) Deposition of Plaintiff BBPOS Limited
9	and INGENICO GROUPS, SA, )		11	BBPOS Response to Rule 30(b)(6)
10	Defendants. )		12	Deposition Notice
11	)		13	BBPOS Updated Response to Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice
12			14	Exhibit 55 Email dated December 8, 2021
13			15	Exhibit 56 Statement dated February 1, 2013
14			16	Exhibit 57 License Agreement dated the 23rd day of March, 2010
15			17	Exhibit 58 Amendment to License Agreement
16			18	Exhibit 59 Document entitled "BBPOS Information Package Confidential"
17			19	Exhibit 60 Document entitled "MPOS Everywhere."
18			20	Exhibit 61 BBPOS Chipper 2X specification material
19			21	Exhibit 62 BBPOS Chipper 2X BT specification material
20	The 30(b)(6) VIDEO DEPOSITION of BEN LO, taken in the above-entitled cause, before Susan Steudel, official reporter, on the 10th day of December, 2021		22	MR. TECHENTIN:
21			23	Exhibit 63
22			24	Exhibit 64
23			25	
		Page 2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES:		1	Exhibit 65 Chipper Mini 2 specification material
2			2	Exhibit 66 Chipper OTA material
3	ADLER POLLOCK & SHEEHAN, P.C.		3	Exhibit 67 Walker 1.0 specification material
4	Once Citizens Plaza, 8th Floor		4	Exhibit 68 Rambler 3.0 specification sheet
5	Providence, RI 02903-1345		5	Exhibit 69 Email dated June 11, 2012
6	Ph: 401-274-1345		6	Exhibit 70 Document entitled "Cartes 2013 Paris MPOS solutions review"
7	Jtechentin@apslaw.com		7	authored by Nabeel Choudhry.
8	BY: Jeffrey K. Techentin,		8	Exhibit 71 Cardreader promotional material generated by Landi
9	On behalf of the Defendants;		9	
10			10	Exhibit 72 Product review for RP350x Mobile Card Reader
11	Kutak Rock		11	Exhibit 73 Email dated February 12, 2013
12	1760 Market Street, Suite 1100		12	Exhibit 74 Email dated May 7, 2013
13	Philadelphia, PA 19104-4104		13	Exhibit 75 Email dated November 11, 2012
14	Ph: 215-353-8484		14	Exhibit 76 Email dated August 27, 2013
15	Melissa.bozeman@kutakrock.com		15	Exhibit 77 Press release, ROAM RP350x
16	BY: Melissa Bozeman,		16	Exhibit 78 Technical drawings
17	On behalf of the Plaintiffs;		17	Exhibit 79 Email dated July 18, 2012
18			18	Exhibit 80 Email dated July 16, 2012
19	ALSO PRESENT: Mike Cooper, Videographer		19	Exhibit 81 Email dated July 17, 2012
20			20	Exhibit 82 Email dated February 28, 2012
21			21	Exhibit 83 Email dated May 23, 2012
22			22	Exhibit 84 Email dated September 30, 2015
23			23	Exhibit 85 Email
24			24	Exhibit 86 Email dated December 11, 2015
25			25	

BEN LO 30B6  
ANYWHERE COMMERCE V INGENICODecember 10, 2021  
5-8

		Page 5		Page 7
1	Exhibit 87	Email	173	1 EXAMINATION
2	Exhibit 88	Email dated December 11, 2015	176	2 BY MR. TECHENTIN:
3	Exhibit 89	Email dated December 17, 2015	179	3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Lo.
4	Exhibit 90	Letter dated January 19, 2017	182	4 A. Good morning.
5	Exhibit 91	Letter dated February 28, 2017	184	5 Q. Before we get going I would just like to put on
6	Exhibit 92	Letter dated October 26, 2018	187	6 the record that this was noted on the video as being the
7	Exhibit 93	Letter dated May 7, 2018	191	7 30(b)(6) deposition. I want to make clear that this is
8	Exhibit 94	Letter dated October 23, 2018	195	8 the 30(b)(6) deposition of plaintiff BBPOS Ltd. You
9	Exhibit 95	Letter dated October 4, 2018	197	9 understand that, right, Mr. Lo?
10	Exhibit 96	Letter dated October 22, 2018	198	10 A. Yes.
11	Exhibit 97	Email dated May 17, 2012	200	11 Q. Before we get started who is in the room with you
12	Exhibit 98	Email dated April 26, 2012	202	12 today?
13	Exhibit 99	Email dated March 27, 2012	203	13 A. My lawyer, Melissa.
14	Exhibit 100	Email dated February 16, 2012	205	14 Q. Anyone else?
15	Exhibit 101	BBPOS spreadsheet	228	15 A. No.
16	PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS REFERENCED:			
17				
18	NUMBER	PAGE / LINE		16 MS. BOZEMAN: Can you see -- the tech in this
19	Exhibit	44 / 20		17 room is somewhat beyond my expertise level. Can you see
20	Exhibit	214 / 13		18 the room?
21	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUESTED:			
22	Page / Line			19 MR. TECHENTIN: No. I cannot. I can only see
23	216 / 14			20 Mr. Lo. There is another feed, but that is of Mr. Lo,
24	225 / 23			21 too. Well, it's just me in the room. I actually don't
25				22 know how to change that.
				23 A. I can do that.
				24 MR. TECHENTIN: Last time there were two lawyers
				25 and today there's one. That's all I was asking.
		Page 6		Page 8
1	VANCOUVER, CANADA.			
2	December 10, 2021			
3	*****			
4	(PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:30 A.M.)			
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're on record			
6	at 9:34 A.M., on December 10th, 2021. This begins the			
7	video conference 30(b)(6) deposition of Ben Lo taken in			
8	the matter of AnywhereCommerce, Inc. et al versus Ingenico			
9	Inc. et al, being heard before the United States District			
10	Court for the District of Massachusetts, page [sic] number			
11	1:19-cv-11457-IT. My name is Mike Cooper. The court			
12	reporter is Susan Steudel and we represent Esquire			
13	Deposition Solutions.			
14	Would all counsel present please introduce			
15	yourselves and whom you represent.			
16	MR. TECHENTIN: Jeffrey Techentin on behalf of			
17	the defendants.			
18	MS. BOZEMAN: Melissa Bozeman, Kutak Rock, on			
19	behalf of the plaintiffs, and Mr. Lo as a corporate			
20	designee this morning.			
21	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Would the court			
22	reporter please swear in the witness.			
23	BEN LO.,			
24	called as a witness, having been first affirmed, was			
25	examined and testified as follows:			

BEN LO 30B6  
ANYWHERE COMMERCE V INGENICODecember 10, 2021  
217-220

Page 217	Page 219
<p>1 MR. TECHENTIN: I'll withdraw that. I'll do that 2 differently.</p> <p>3 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>4 Q. What is your agreement with AnywhereCommerce as 5 it relates to the splitting of an award of damages in this 6 case?</p> <p>7 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection. Attorney/client 8 privileged and I'll instruct the client not to answer.</p> <p>9 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>10 Q. Is this the agreement that I'm asking about right 11 now a written agreement between you and AnywhereCommerce?</p> <p>12 A. Between me and AnywhereCommerce?</p> <p>13 Q. You meaning BBPOS, yes.</p> <p>14 A. No. Can I answer that?</p> <p>15 MS. BOZEMAN: Are you asking me?</p> <p>16 A. Yeah.</p> <p>17 MS. BOZEMAN: You can answer.</p> <p>18 A. Between BBPOS and -- no. No.</p> <p>19 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>20 Q. Is there a written agreement between BBPOS and 21 AnywhereCommerce with respect to this litigation?</p> <p>22 A. Just two parties, do you mean?</p> <p>23 Q. No. I don't mean to limit it to two parties.</p> <p>24 A. Yeah. There are agreement in place, but there's 25 no agreement just AnywhereCommerce and BBPOS, two parties.</p>	<p>1 Q. Is the agreement that you signed that you just 2 mentioned now, is that the same agreement that you were 3 talking about before with the three parties, you, 4 AnywhereCommerce and Kutak Rock?</p> <p>5 A. I believe before that we have one more engagement 6 letter with Kutak Rock. Before we sign the agreement 7 between Anywhere Commerce, BBPOS and Kutak Rock we also 8 sign engagement letter with Kutak Rock.</p> <p>9 Q. You have a separate engagement letter with Kutak 10 Rock; is that right?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. Does that engagement letter set forth the terms 13 by which Kutak Rock is providing their legal services to 14 you?</p> <p>15 A. I think that letter is -- is engagement letter 16 between BBPOS and Kutak Rock because after -- it's not 17 just this case. Kutak Rock also represent us in some like 18 defence case.</p> <p>19 MS. BOZEMAN: Don't --</p> <p>20 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>21 Q. I don't need to know. I think you're saying that 22 is a general engagement letter?</p> <p>23 A. Yeah. A general engagement letter.</p> <p>24 Q. But does that general engagement letter set forth 25 the legal fees or whatever you have to pay in exchange for</p>
Page 218	Page 220
<p>1 Q. There's an agreement between you, 2 AnywhereCommerce and Kutak Rock; is that correct?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. When did you enter into that agreement?</p> <p>5 A. 2018.</p> <p>6 Q. When did you first discuss bringing a lawsuit 7 against Ingenico with AnywhereCommerce?</p> <p>8 A. It is also around 2018 or 2017.</p> <p>9 Q. Who was there for that conversation?</p> <p>10 A. I just talk to our lawyer Oliver about the 11 litigation and about a case, about Ingenico steal our 12 trade secrets. And then Oliver just give me some -- come 13 up with this lawsuit and suggest that we can join with 14 AnywhereCommerce as a plaintiff to file this lawsuit.</p> <p>15 Q. So the first conversation you had about 16 potentially filing a lawsuit was with Oliver?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Was Oliver your lawyer at that point?</p> <p>19 A. Oliver was introduced to me by one of our 20 potential -- one of our financial advisor. And he was not 21 my lawyer at that period of time.</p> <p>22 Q. When did Oliver become your lawyer?</p> <p>23 A. After we talk about our case. And then we sign 24 our agreement. And then we file this litigation. And 25 then Oliver become our lawyers.</p>	<p>1 Kutak Rock's representation?</p> <p>2 A. I don't remember the detail of the letter, of 3 that engagement letter. It is just an engagement letter. 4 So I think that the fee is -- I think how --</p> <p>5 Q. And I don't want to know how your fees -- I don't 6 want to know details about the fees. I'm just asking 7 which document they're in?</p> <p>8 A. I think just general engagement letter.</p> <p>9 Q. And so at some point did you have a conversation 10 with Michael Kron about this litigation?</p> <p>11 A. Yes.</p> <p>12 Q. When did that happen?</p> <p>13 A. Also 2018.</p> <p>14 Q. Was this after you spoke with Oliver?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Was it before you engaged Oliver?</p> <p>17 A. I don't remember the time frame. All this time 18 frame is so close. I don't remember.</p> <p>19 Q. And you said that Oliver was introduced to you by 20 someone else. Do you know who that was?</p> <p>21 A. Yeah. Oliver was introduced to me by one of our 22 financial advisor.</p> <p>23 Q. Who was that?</p> <p>24 A. Our financial advisor. So do I need to give you 25 a name? His name is called JT. J for Jimmy. T is Tang.</p>

BEN LO 30B6  
ANYWHERE COMMERCE V INGENICODecember 10, 2021  
221-224

Page 221	Page 223
<p>1 It is the son of Jimmy Tang. I just call him JT.</p> <p>2 Q. So that's the son of the Jimmy Tang who works at</p> <p>3 BBPOS?</p> <p>4 A. No. I mean, his name is JT. So I make sure that</p> <p>5 you get these two characters correct. JT.</p> <p>6 Q. Were you introduced to Oliver before Oliver came</p> <p>7 to speak to you about the -- or spoke to you about the</p> <p>8 lawsuit?</p> <p>9 A. No. No.</p> <p>10 Q. So your first contact with Oliver was talking</p> <p>11 about this lawsuit?</p> <p>12 A. No. The first -- the first contact is a share.</p> <p>13 I share with Oliver our experience, our bad experience</p> <p>14 with Ingenico. Because Ingenico is supposed to invest, to</p> <p>15 acquire our company. And so JT is our financial advisor.</p> <p>16 I talk to JT that if there is any potential investor, I</p> <p>17 want to make sure that there are people -- like people</p> <p>18 behind so investor or investor, again, to do a technical</p> <p>19 detail. So I share the story with JT, and JT just</p> <p>20 introduce Oliver to me and want me to share the story</p> <p>21 again. So this is the first time. I just share the story</p> <p>22 with Oliver, and after that with Oliver propose to start</p> <p>23 litigation, to file litigation against Ingenico.</p> <p>24 Q. So I want to focus your attention to the</p> <p>25 conversation you had with Michael Kron about this</p>	<p>1 representing you against Ingenico?</p> <p>2 A. Did have I a lawyer at that period of time? Do I</p> <p>3 have a lawyer? Do I find a lawyer, you mean?</p> <p>4 Q. No. Did you have a lawyer representing you</p> <p>5 against Ingenico when you were talking to Michael Kron?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Did you discuss with Michael Kron potential</p> <p>8 sharing of legal expenses?</p> <p>9 A. Yes.</p> <p>10 Q. What did you discuss?</p> <p>11 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection to the content.</p> <p>12 Attorney/client privileged and I will instruct the client</p> <p>13 not to answer.</p> <p>14 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>15 Q. Are you going to abide by your counsel's</p> <p>16 instruction?</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Did you discuss with him the topic of potential</p> <p>19 litigation proceeds or damages?</p> <p>20 A. No.</p> <p>21 Q. Did you discuss entering into a joint defence</p> <p>22 agreement?</p> <p>23 A. We -- you mean in the meantime do I discuss with</p> <p>24 Michael Kron about entering agreement? I think at that</p> <p>25 meeting, no, we don't need to talk about that.</p>
Page 222	Page 224
<p>1 litigation.</p> <p>2 Who initiated that conversation?</p> <p>3 A. With Michael Kron? I don't remember.</p> <p>4 Q. Did he call you? Did you call him? Was there a</p> <p>5 meeting set up?</p> <p>6 A. Meeting? Most likely Michael Kron call me.</p> <p>7 Q. What was discussed during that conversation?</p> <p>8 MS. BOZEMAN: I'm going to caution you. If it</p> <p>9 involves either communications with attorneys that reveal</p> <p>10 legal strategy or legal advice then you cannot speak to</p> <p>11 that portion of the conversation. But if there was</p> <p>12 anything unrelated you can talk about that.</p> <p>13 A. Michael Kron also said he had unfair treatment by</p> <p>14 Ingenico and that he's also angry. So this is the</p> <p>15 conversation, it's like that.</p> <p>16 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>17 Q. Did you talk about the possibility of joining</p> <p>18 together in litigation?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection. To the extent that it</p> <p>21 involves legal strategy, I don't want you to answer the</p> <p>22 question, but otherwise you can talk.</p> <p>23 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>24 Q. Did you have a lawyer at this point when you were</p> <p>25 talking with Michael Kron? Did you have a lawyer who was</p>	<p>1 Q. Did that come up at some point?</p> <p>2 A. Yeah, many time.</p> <p>3 Q. Between you and Michael Kron?</p> <p>4 A. And also Kutak Rock.</p> <p>5 Q. Do you have a joint defence agreement with</p> <p>6 AnywhereCommerce?</p> <p>7 A. Joint defence.</p> <p>8 MS. BOZEMAN: If you know, you can answer.</p> <p>9 A. I don't know.</p> <p>10 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>11 Q. Do you have any agreements relating to this</p> <p>12 litigation with AnywhereCommerce other than that agreement</p> <p>13 between you, Kutak Rock and AnywhereCommerce?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. And that's a written agreement?</p> <p>16 A. You mean the agreement between me and</p> <p>17 AnywhereCommerce? No. Just an agreement between me and</p> <p>18 AnywhereCommerce and Kutak Rock. That's the agreement</p> <p>19 about this litigation, but we don't have a separate</p> <p>20 agreement between BBPOS and AnywhereCommerce about this</p> <p>21 litigation, no.</p> <p>22 Q. Right. I'm asking about the one that Kutak Rock</p> <p>23 is a party to. Is that agreement in writing?</p> <p>24 A. Yes.</p> <p>25 Q. What is the nature of that agreement?</p>

BEN LO 30B6  
ANYWHERE COMMERCE V INGENICODecember 10, 2021  
225-228

Page 225	Page 227
1 A. Do I need to answer this question? 2 Q. Unless she instructs you not to, yes. 3 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection to that question. 4 A. Is this client/lawyer privilege? Do I need to 5 answer this question. 6 MS. BOZEMAN: I'm going to instruct you not to 7 answer this question to the extent that your answer would 8 involve legal strategy or legal advice related to the 9 litigation. So if there's anything otherwise appropriate 10 to disclose, you can. 11 A. So in this agreement there's just a -- I'm 12 uncomfortable to answer this question. This is more a 13 lawyer/client privilege. 14 BY MR. TECHENTIN: 15 Q. Can you describe for me without getting into any 16 of the details of what the agreement says what the subject 17 matter of this the agreement is? 18 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection. Attorney/client 19 privileged. I'm going to instruct the client not to 20 answer. 21 BY MR. TECHENTIN: 22 Q. You are going to abide by that? 23 A. Yes. 24 Q. What was your motivation for joining together 25 with AnywhereCommerce to bring this litigation?	1 of that agreement? 2 MS. BOZEMAN: Same objection. But you can 3 answer. 4 A. Yes. 5 BY MR. TECHENTIN: 6 Q. And we didn't get into any detail about your 7 theories of damage, but presumably it's Ingenico's 8 theories will be similar to yours in that regard in terms 9 of entitlement to damages. But my question really goes to 10 how to find out about the revenue that BBPOS achieved by 11 selling different products over the years. And, you know, 12 one of the topics for today's deposition is the gathering 13 of documents in response to discovery requests. You know 14 that; right? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And you understand that in the course of 17 discovery Ingenico asked for information about the sales 18 of products by BBPOS; right? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. And, in fact, BBPOS produced a number of 21 documents in the form of Excel spreadsheets that detail 22 some of the sales and profits associated with its 23 products? Are you familiar with that? 24 A. Yes. 25 MR. TECHENTIN: So I'm trying to show you a
Page 226	Page 228
1 A. What's my motivation, you said? 2 Q. Yes. 3 A. We both -- we both screwed by Ingenico. So the 4 motivation is we get back our damage. 5 Q. I'd like to ask you a few questions about the 6 damages that are claimed against BBPOS in this case. 7 You understand that there are counterclaims; right? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And you understand that those counterclaims seek 10 damages associated with your violation of the exclusivity 11 provisions of the ROAM BBPOS license agreement; right? 12 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection. You can answer. 13 A. Yes. 14 BY MR. TECHENTIN: 15 Q. And I'll tell you, maybe you know this, maybe you 16 don't, that the damages that are sought relate to your 17 sale of products that were done in violation of that 18 agreement. Do you understand that? 19 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection. But you can answer. 20 A. Can you repeat your questions. 21 MR. TECHENTIN: Sure. 22 BY MR. TECHENTIN: 23 Q. Do you understand that the damages that are 24 sought by Ingenico for your violation of the license 25 agreement concern the products that you sold in violation	1 document. Here we go. 2 So I'll mark as Exhibit 101. 3 (Exhibit 101 was marked for identification 4 and is attached hereto.) 5 BY MR. TECHENTIN: 6 Q. So this is an Excel spreadsheet that was produced 7 to us in this case by BBPOS. Can you see it? 8 A. Can I see it now? 9 Q. My question is whether this spreadsheet is -- 10 provides detail as to revenue or sales by customer or 11 product code. 12 A. Can you repeat your question. 13 Q. So let me break that down. Do you know this 14 spreadsheet that I've marked here as 101? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Does it contain information that would allow me 17 to figure out what revenue is associated with a particular 18 customer? 19 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection. But you can answer. 20 A. I don't know. I don't know. I'm not an 21 accountant. 22 BY MR. TECHENTIN: 23 Q. Do you know if this spreadsheet reflects sales or 24 revenue data or profit information concerning an 25 individual product?

BEN LO 30B6  
ANYWHERE COMMERCE V INGENICO

December 10, 2021  
229-232

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 229</p> <p>1 MS. BOZEMAN: There's a lot of tabs here. Do you      2 want him to be going through each of these tabs?      3 A. I just see one page, so ... I can't determine a      4 sense of individual product. It provides sales of each      5 month over the course of each month. So I don't -- I      6 cannot determine whether this is separate products.</p> <p>7 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>8 Q. Do you know if any spreadsheets have been      9 provided that would enable Ingenico to understand what the      10 sales were for specific products?</p> <p>11 A. I don't know.</p> <p>12 Q. I will also point out that this spreadsheet that      13 was produced is dated through 2015. Well, there have been      14 a lot of Excel spreadsheets produced. I think this is the      15 most recent that we have seen. And when I say a lot, I      16 think there's close to 2,000 spreadsheets. But do you      17 know if BBPOS has produced any financial reporting that is      18 more recent than 2015?</p> <p>19 A. Yes.</p> <p>20 Q. What has been produced that is more recent than      21 2015?</p> <p>22 A. Can you repeat your questions?</p> <p>23 Q. Sure. This spreadsheet is 2015, and we have one      24 for 2014 and we have one for 2013.</p> <p>25 Do you know if equivalent information was produced</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 231</p> <p>1 REPORTER CERTIFICATION      2 I, Susan Steudel, Official Reporter in the Province      3 of British Columbia, Canada, BCSRA No. 445, do hereby      4 certify:      5 That the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand at      the time herein set forth, and thereafter transcribed, and      6 the same is a true and correct and complete transcript of      said proceedings to the best of my skill and ability.</p> <p>7 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name on      8 this day, the 19th day of December, 2021.</p> <p>9 <u>Susan Steudel</u>      Susan Steudel      10 Official Reporter      11      12      13      14      15      16      17      18      19      20      21      22      23      24      25</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 230</p> <p>1 for 2016 or '17 or anything more recent?      2 A. I don't know.      3 Q. Do you know why, if it's true, do you know why      4 financial information was only produced through 2015?      5 MS. BOZEMAN: Objection. Hypothetical.      6 A. I don't know.</p> <p>7 BY MR. TECHENTIN:</p> <p>8 Q. Was there some deliberate choice on the part of      9 BBPOS to not produce information more recent than 2015?      10 A. I don't know.</p> <p>11 MR. TECHENTIN: All right. Can we take a short      12 break? I think I might be done or close to done. Just      13 give me a few minutes.</p> <p>14 MS. BOZEMAN: That's fine.</p> <p>15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record at 5:58 P.M.      16 (PROCEEDINGS RECESSED AT 5:58 P.M.)      17 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 6:00 P.M.)      18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record at      19 6:01 P.M. I don't have any further questions. Thank you.      20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the record at      21 6:02 P.M.      22 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 6:02 P.M.)      23 (SIGNATURE RESERVED)</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 232</p> <p>1 Reference No.: 7675340      2      3 Case: ANYWHERE COMMERCE V INGENICO      4      5 DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY      6      7 I declare under penalty of perjury that      8 I have read the entire transcript of my Depo-      sition taken in the captioned matter or the      9 same has been read to me, and the same is      true and accurate, save and except for      changes and/or corrections, if any, as indi-      cated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET      hereof, with the understanding that I offer      these changes as if still under oath.</p> <p>10      11 _____      Ben Lo 30b6      12      13      14 NOTARIZATION OF CHANGES      15 (If Required)      16      17 Subscribed and sworn to on the _____ day of      18      19 _____, 20____ before me,      20      21 (Notary Sign) _____      22      23 (Print Name) Notary Public,      24      25 in and for the State of _____</p>